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ABSTRACT

Objective: Body dissatisfaction and disordered eating behaviors are common among college women, yet only a subset of this population develops clinically significant disordered eating symptoms during college. Appearance-based social comparisons, particularly those made to others with "better" bodies (i.e., upward appearance comparisons), have demonstrated concurrent relationships with body dissatisfaction and disordered eating. Little is known about the value of these comparisons for predicting the development of eating pathology, however.

Method: The present study examined the predictive value of upward appearance comparisons, as well as established risk factors (e.g., body dissatisfaction, negative affect), for the onset of clinically significant eating pathology over one college semester. College women (N = 454) completed validated self-report measures at the beginning of one semester, and again nine weeks later.

Results: Women who were newly above the clinical threshold for eating pathology at follow-up (n = 31) exhibited stronger baseline tendencies toward upward appearance comparisons than women who were below the threshold at both time points. In contrast, women who were already above the clinical threshold at baseline scored higher on established risk factors.

Discussion: These findings suggest that the extent of upward appearance comparison may be useful for identifying college women at particular risk for developing clinically significant disordered eating symptoms. © 2013 Wiley Periodicals, Inc.
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Introduction

Body dissatisfaction is a known risk factor for the development of eating disorders, and is thus a key topic in eating disorder prevention programs. Despite the high rates of body dissatisfaction among college women, however, less than 30% develop disordered eating symptoms that have potential clinical significance during college. Similarly, experiences such as negative affect confer risk for eating disorders, but also precede conditions such as substance abuse. As a result, there is need to examine specific factors that differentiate those individuals who do and do not develop clinically significant symptoms during this normative transition. Identification of additional, specific risk factors for the onset of eating disorders could improve the effectiveness of prevention programs by enabling clinicians to gear them toward women who are at particularly high risk.

Upward appearance-related social comparisons represent a prime target of such investigation. Social comparisons, or self-evaluations relative to others in the environment, can provide information about an individual’s status in a given domain. Social comparisons are common in domains that carry a high degree of social consensus about the accepted standard. In the case of women’s appearance, comparisons are made against the standard of the “thin ideal.” As women making comparisons typically consider this standard thinner and more attractive than themselves, comparisons are made “upward” (i.e., toward better-off targets).

Consistent evidence suggests that women frequently engage in appearance-based comparisons, and that opportunities for such comparisons (i.e., experimental exposure to thin models) result in increased body-focused anxiety and decreased body satisfaction. Upward appearance comparisons
also distinguish women with body dissatisfaction\textsuperscript{11} and disordered eating symptoms\textsuperscript{12} from those without, such that women with greater body dissatisfaction and disordered eating symptoms report stronger tendencies toward upward appearance comparison.\textsuperscript{13} Despite the apparent role of appearance comparisons in body dissatisfaction and eating disorders, however, little is currently known about its role as a prospective predictor in disordered eating onset. The present study examined the predictive utility of upward appearance comparisons for the onset of clinically significant disordered eating symptoms over one college semester.

**Method**

**Participants**

As part of a larger study, college women enrolled in an introductory psychology course at a large, private university ($N = 454$) participated in an online survey related to body image and social functioning. The average participant was 19 years old ($SD = 1.02$) with a BMI of 23.13 kg/m\textsuperscript{2} ($SD = 4.78$). The majority of participants identified as Caucasian (60%); other ethnic backgrounds included Asian (21%), Hispanic/Latina (8%), Black/African American (7%), Native American (1%), and mixed (3%). Most participants were freshman (66%) and lived on campus with a roommate (81%).

**Procedure**

The present study was advertised through SONA, a web portal designed for scheduling and tracking research participation. Those who were interested in participating were offered a link to an online survey, where they gave electronic informed consent. Participants completed the same set of measures at the start and end of one semester (nine weeks later). At both time points, participants completed the survey in one sitting, at their convenience. All procedures were approved by the supporting university’s Institutional Review Board.

**Measures**

*Eating Disorder Examination Questionnaire:* The EDE-Q\textsuperscript{14} is a 26-item measure of disordered eating behaviors and thoughts over the past month (e.g., restricting food intake, fear of being or becoming “fat”). This measure has an established cutoff score of 2.30 for clinically significant symptomatology. In the present study, estimated internal consistency (Cronbach’s alpha) was 0.95.

*Body Image Disturbance Questionnaire:* The BIDQ\textsuperscript{15} is a 7-item assessment of general body dissatisfaction, concern about appearance, and associated distress. Items related to concern about appearance are rated on a scale of 1 (not at all) to 5 (extremely). Cronbach’s alpha for the present study was 0.91.

*Positive and Negative Affect Schedule:* The Negative Affect subscale of the PANAS\textsuperscript{16} is a 10-item measure of negative emotions experienced over the past month (e.g., guilt, irritability). Emotions are rated on a scale of 1 (very slightly/not at all) to 5 (extremely). In the present study, Cronbach’s alpha was 0.88.

*Upward Appearance Comparison Scale:* The UPACS\textsuperscript{13} is an 11-item questionnaire that assesses the extent of upward comparisons based on appearance domains (i.e., comparisons to others perceived to be more attractive than the self). Items such as “I compare myself to those who are better looking than me” are rated on a scale of 1 (strongly disagree) to 5 (strongly agree). Cronbach’s alpha for the present study was 0.92.

**Statistical Approach**

We hypothesized that women who were below an accepted threshold for self-reported disordered eating symptoms at baseline and above that threshold at follow-up (i.e., those newly above the threshold at follow-up) would endorse greater upward appearance comparisons than women who were below the clinical threshold at both time points. This hypothesis was tested using a planned contrast (ANOVA) corrected for unbalanced group sizes. Conversely, we predicted that women who were above the accepted threshold for clinically significant disordered eating symptoms at baseline would endorse greater body dissatisfaction and negative affect than those below the threshold (ANOVA with planned contrast). Finally, the predictive value of upward appearance comparisons also was compared to that of established risk factors in a generalized logit model.

**Results**

Approximately 61% of the present sample ($n = 278$) fell below the accepted EDE-Q clinical cutoff for disordered eating symptoms at both time points, indicating no evidence of significant pathology during the semester. In contrast, 24% of the sample ($n = 110$) scored above the clinical cutoff at both time points, suggesting that these women experienced significant symptomatology throughout the semester. The composition of the present sample thus roughly reflected available prevalence estimates for the general population of college-aged women.\textsuperscript{4, 17}

Of particular interest were participants who: (1) began the semester below the EDE-Q clinical threshold, but were above the clinical threshold at the end of the semester ($n = 31$; 7% of the sample), and (2) began the semester above the EDE-Q clinical threshold, but were below the clinical threshold
at the end of the semester (n = 38; 8% of the sample). Both groups demonstrated statistically significant change in EDE-Q score from baseline to follow-up (p < .001; see Table 1). None of the participants who were newly below the clinical threshold at the end of the semester reported receiving mental health treatment between baseline and follow-up. Mean baseline scores on all study measures for each subgroup are presented in Table 2.

Examination of known risk factors for the development of clinically significant disordered eating symptoms revealed baseline differences in both body dissatisfaction ($F[3,451] = 87.92, p < .001, \eta_p^2 = 0.37$) and negative affect ($F[3,451] = 9.35, p < .001, \eta_p^2 = 0.10$) between EDE-Q change subgroups. However, baseline scores were higher among participants who exceeded the EDE-Q clinical cutoff at baseline, relative to those who did not (ps < .05; $d_{BIDQ} = 0.94, d_{NA} = 0.29$). This pattern shows that individuals already in the clinical range of disordered eating symptoms exhibited greater body dissatisfaction and negative affect at baseline, and thus highlights these variables as maintenance as well as risk factors for disordered eating.

EDE-Q subgroups also displayed baseline differences in their extent of upward appearance comparison ($F[3,451] = 7.95, p < .001, \eta_p^2 = 0.19$). Participants who were newly above the clinical threshold at the end of the semester showed the strongest tendencies toward upward appearance comparison; scores for this group were significantly higher than those of participants who stayed below the clinical cutoff throughout the semester (contrast $F = 5.69, p = .019, d = 0.51$; see Table 2). A non-parametric regression model that included body dissatisfaction, negative affect, and upward appearance comparison also showed that upward appearance comparison significantly differentiated EDE-Q subgroups when known risk factors were controlled (Wald $\chi^2 = 5.96, p = .003$; estimate = 0.05, 95% CI = −0.18 to 0.29).

### Discussion

Existing evidence demonstrates that appearance-focused social comparisons, particularly those made toward others perceived to be better looking...
(i.e., upward appearance comparisons), play a key role in body dissatisfaction and consequent disordered eating behaviors. The authors’ knowledge, however, upward appearance comparison has not been examined as a prospective predictor of clinically significant disordered eating symptoms. The present study extends previous work in this area by testing the predictive value of upward appearance comparisons for the onset of eating pathology in a large sample of college women. Findings indicate that 7% of the sample developed significant eating pathology over the course of one semester, and that these women demonstrated greater tendencies toward upward appearance comparison at the start of the semester (relative to women who did not develop eating pathology). In contrast, established risk factors (i.e., negative affect and body dissatisfaction) were higher among women who evidenced eating pathology at baseline (relative to those who were newly above the clinical threshold at follow-up).

These findings thus demonstrate the potential utility of upward appearance comparisons for identifying college women at particular risk for the onset of clinically significant disordered eating symptoms. As noted, experimentally presented opportunities for upward appearance comparisons result in immediate increases in body dissatisfaction; the appearance comparison measure used in the present study, however, assesses an individual’s tendency to make such negative comparisons in everyday life. Consequently, scores on this measure convey the extent to which respondents are exposed to negative perceptions of their bodies. The cumulative effect of repeated exposure to negative body perceptions may play a key role in the acceleration of global body dissatisfaction and associated distress, thereby potentiating meaningful increases in disordered eating symptoms. Additional research will be necessary to clarify the temporal sequence of experiences that confer risk and the optimal period for prevention efforts.

The present study was limited by reliance on self-report, a sample recruited from a single university, and lack of long-term follow-up. Although the measure used to assess disordered eating in the present study has an established clinical threshold, additional research is needed to confirm these findings using clinician-administered assessment techniques. This work would benefit from recruiting a nationally representative sample and additional assessment points (for which the present study did not have the necessary resources), as well as determination of thresholds for disordered eating risk based on measures of upward appearance comparison. In addition, only a limited number of experiences relevant to disordered eating were assessed in this study. Therefore, it is possible that participants who developed disordered eating symptoms differed from other groups not only on baseline upward appearance comparison, but related experiences not captured here (e.g., extent of weight-related teasing, exposure to thin ideal images). Despite these limitations, however, the current findings provide preliminary evidence to suggest that a greater focus on upward appearance comparisons may contribute to improvement of eating disorder prevention on college campuses.
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