ABSTRACT: 2015 ELAM Institutional Action Project Poster Symposium

Project Title: A Continuous Quality Improvement Approach to Enhance Faculty Contributions to the Education Mission

Name and Institution: Kimberly Hoffman, Ph.D. University of Missouri-Columbia School of Medicine.

Collaborators: 1) Associate Deans: Curricular Improvement, Student Programs, Senior Associate Dean for Education, and 2) Faculty Directors: a) Curriculum & Assessment; b) Pre-Clerkship Curriculum, c) Introduction to Patient Care, d) Pre-Clerkship Assessment, f) Director Clinical Skills.

Background:

The School of Medicine will increase class size from 96 to 128 in 2017. Our curriculum is a highly integrated Patient Based Learning (PBL) curriculum with abundant small group learning. No departmental specific courses are offered in the first two years. Contributions to the integrated curriculum are highly variable across departments. Timely, accurate data on teaching contributions are not readily available and therefore are not routinely incorporated into decisions about allocation of faculty effort.

Objectives: This project has four objectives: 1) identify the number of faculty needed for mission critical roles to support an increased class size; 2) develop a model with defined equitable faculty teaching expectations for each department; 3) develop a web based Dashboard to enable departmental chairs, education leaders and the Dean to monitor teaching contributions and inform strategic decisions; 4) integrate data on faculty teaching expectations with clinical and research metrics to provide a more holistic representation of the SOM faculty activities.

Methods/Approach:

We engaged a faculty task force to: 1) quantify the faculty resources required to support an increased class size; 2) identify mission critical roles and prioritize difficult to recruit roles; 3) consider discipline specific expertise and prior knowledge of the medical students' experience needed in each role; and 4) develop metrics for departmental contributions. We assigned departments to three types: 1) basic science, 2) clinical with core clerkships, 3) all other clinical. We then developed specific expectations for mission critical roles that were adjusted by faculty FTE and department type. We created a dashboard that identified the degree to which each department met teaching expectations for each role. Different colors on the dashboard indicate contributions and ranged from sufficient to support an <u>increased</u> class size to not sufficient for <u>current</u> class size. AY2013-14 served as the pilot year. We validated data for the mission critical roles and engaged stakeholders to refine data organization and display through progressive PDSA cycles. We engaged department chairs to identify strategies to enhance departmental contributions. We created technical specifications to move the dashboard to a web-based application and link this with our curriculum management database with anticipated implementation in July 2015.

Evaluation Metrics:

To determine the effectiveness of the intervention we will collect and analyze data for AY2015-18.

Outcome	Metric
Project Management	Monthly Process Milestones
Reduce Variance in Contributions Among	# Departments Meeting Expectations
Departments	
Increased Faculty Contributions	# Departments Meeting Expectations
	# Individuals Contributing to Expectations # Individuals by Academic Rank Contributing to Expectations
Usefulness; Increased Transparency	SOM leaders feedback

Anticipated Organizational Impact

Increased Faculty Participation; Decreased Variability Among Departmental Contributions; Transparency; Integrated Holistic Representation of SOM Contributions; Academic Promotion/Retention.

A CONTINUOUS QUALITY IMPROVEMENT APPROACH TO ENHANCE FACULTY CONTRIBUTIONS TO THE EDUCATIONAL MISSION

Kimberly Hoffman, Ph.D., University of Missouri – Columbia School of Medicine Presented at the 2015 ELAM Leaders Forum

S

S

00

Identify & Prioritize

Mission Critical Roles

Quantify Faculty

Resources

Identify Expertise Needed

and Time Requirements

for Each Role

Develop Metrics Based on

FTE & Department Type



BACKGROUND/CHALLENGE

- 30% increase in Undergraduate Medical Education (UME) class by 2017
- Highly integrated patient-based learning education curriculum
- No department-specific courses
- Contributions to UME highly variable across departments
- Lack of timely, accurate data on teaching contributions

OBJECTIVES

- 1. Identify the number of faculty needed for mission critical roles to support an increased class size
- 2. Develop a model with defined equitable faculty teaching expectations for each department
- 3. Develop a web based Dashboard to enable departmental chairs, education leaders and the Dean to monitor teaching contributions and to inform strategic decisions
- 4. Integrate data on faculty teaching expectations with clinical and research metrics to provide a more holistic representation of SOM faculty activities

DISCUSSION

RESULTS

- Data demonstrate considerably more variability in departmental contributions than anticipated
- Data identified vulnerabilities. For example, a single individual considering retirement providing the majority of departmental roles
- Dashboard makes transparent departments that are major education contributors and those with little to no investment

IMPACT

- Chairs gained an enhanced understanding of:
 - Mission critical roles including expertise and time requirements
 - Individual faculty members educational contributions
 - Areas "at risk" within their department
- Chairs actively engaged faculty to fill mission critical roles
- Leadership has accurate data to create an holistic representation of departmental contributions

NEXT STEPS

- Create a process to agree upon departmental FTE
- Create process to balance medical school teaching in departments with large undergraduate courses
- Create a process to seamlessly integrate into the annual budget cycle
- Determine impact of IAP in ability to recruit faculty to education mission

METHODS

Develop Dashboard

Pilot with Multiple PDSA Cycles

Develop Technical Specifications to move to Web

Recruit for Academic Year 15-16 Monitor Change in Faculty Contributions

Integrate with Research and Clinical Metrics

Fully Integrate into Budget Discussions

OUTCOMES

Department Contributions for the Department of Sample Clinical Department 2013-2014

Sample Clinical Department: Core Clinical Department Summary

			Total	#of	
Role	Ask	Requested	Provided	Individuals	% Met
COMPASS Guides (year)	1:4 FTE	11.0	9		82%
IPC Facilitators (block)	1:3 FTE	14.7	5.5	8	38%
PBL Facilitators (block)	1:15 FTE	2.9	4	1	136%
CRE Part Graders (part)	1:10 FTE	4.4	4 4		91%
PCC-OSCE Graders (hour)	1:2 FTE	22.0	64.6	14	294%
Admissions Committee					
(year)	1:15 FTE	2.9	1		34%
Pre-Professional Scholars					
Committee (year)	1:30 FTE	1.5	0		0%
MSPE Committee (year)	1 per clerkship	1	1		100%
	7 FTE from Core				
Advising Liaison (year)	Clinical Combined		1		
ASC Advisor (student)			9	9	
Clinical Advisor (student)			21	9	
CSP Committee (year)			1		
Interprofessional Small					
Group Facilitator (session)			9	3	
IPC Short Answer Grader					
(part)			2	1	
IPC Standardized Patient					
Grader (hour)			1.0	1	
ACE Preceptor					
(student/block)			35.7	17	

2013-2014 Faculty: 44

indicates contributions sufficient for a class size of 104

indicates contributions sufficient for a class size of 128

Benchmarks

Department Specifics

indicates contributions are not sufficient for a class size of 104

Department List Core Clinical Other Clinical **Basic Science** Anesthesiology & Perioperative Child Health Medicine Biochemistry Family & Community Health Management & Dermatology Molecular Microbiology & Internal Medicine **Emergency Medicine** Immunology Ophthalmology Nutrition & Exercise Physiology Neurology Obstetrics &

Orthopaedic Surgery

Otolaryngology

Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation

Radiology

Pathology & Anatomical Sciences

Pharmacology & Physiology

* includes only SOM appointments

Gynecology

Psychiatry

Surgery

Department Contributions for the School of Medicine

2013-2014

	Core Clinical				Other Clinical			Basic Science				All Departments Combined			
	290 FTE			143 FTE			118 FTE								
		Total	#of			Total	#of			Total	#of		Total SOM	SOM	ALL
Role	Requested	Provided	Individuals	% Met	Requested	Provided	Individuals	% Met	Requested	Provided	Individuals	% Met	Requested	Provided	Provided
COMPASS Guides (year)	72.5	52		72%	35.8	4		11%		8			108.3	64.0	67.0
IPC Facilitators (block)	96.7	67.0	52	69%		3	2						96.7	70.0	71.0
PBL Facilitators (block)	19.3	20.0	15	103%	9.5	10	6	105%	118.0	62	39	53%	146.9	92.0	93.0
CRE Part Graders (part)	29.0	22	17	O 76%					23.6	23	9	97%	52.6	45.0	45.0
PCC-OSCE Graders (hour)	145.0	175.4	49	121%	35.8	17.5	8	49%					180.8	192.9	214.6
Admissions Committee															
(vear)	19.3	10		52%	9.5	6		63%	7.9	5		64%	36.7	21.0	21.0

EVALUATION STRATEGY

Outcome	Metric
Project Management	Monthly Process Milestones
Reduce Variance in Contributions	# Departments Meeting Expectations
Among Departments	
Increased Faculty Contributions	# Departments Meeting Expectations
	# Individuals Contributing to Expectations
	# Individuals by Academic Rank Contributing to
	Expectations
Usefulness; Increased Transparency	SOM leaders feedback
	•Individual meetings
	•Survey

COLLABORATORS AND MENTORS

Mentor: Patrice Delafontaine, M.D., Dean School of Medicine

Collaborators: Linda Headrick, M.D., Rachel Brown, M.D., Jack Gay, M.D., Kevin Kane, M.D., Steve Halenda, Ph.D., Carla Dyer, M.D., Mark Martin, Ph.D., Celso Velasquez, M.D.

ANTICIPATED ORGANIZATIONAL IMPACT

- Increased Faculty Participation
- Decreased Variability Among Departmental Contributions
- Transparency
- Integrated Holistic Representation of SOM Faculty Contributions
- Academic Promotion/Retention.